Employment Project Rubric

Criteria	t Project Rubric			F	Ratings							Pts
Teamwork	Spits Full Marks Good You worked we I with the team to takineve objectives and contributed an a valuable way to the project. Evaluations indicated a high level of nutual respect and co laboration.			f time, with several occurrence e to communication breakdown of uations collaborate when appropriate			You nand u when indica	1 pts Poor You rarely worked with the team, and usually failed to collaborate when appropriate. Evaluations inclicated you were often disrespectful to team members.		0 pts No Marks You falled to work with the team and were disrespectful to other team members.		4 pts
Interview/VE	questions you asked were on topic, a	usere courteous and professional. The sestions you asked were on topic, and information from the interview is well-agrared into the larger presentation. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the intervier larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the intervier larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the intervier larger presentation but could integrated. It is a segretated into the intervier larger presentation but could integrated.		you asked were not e information from the		relevant, or the interview was not	ns	1 pts Poor You were rude an The information fr was not presented finding work in Jaj		rview	this particular ses between this comment in Japan inds of positions companies or rea are looking and what current	4 pts
Sector	Full Marks You amply covered how recruiting works for this particular sector, the differences between this sector or work environment in Japan and the US, what kinds of positions are available, what companies or institutions in this area are looking for in candidates, and what current students can and should do to pursue this field			or this pa ces between vironme at kinds le, what ons in the	ered how recruiting articular sector, the ween this sector or ant in Japan and the of positions are companies or companies or its area are looking its area are looking the substitution of the sector	works for this p differences bet work environme US, what kinds available, what institutions in the	rew of how recruiting articular sector, the reven this sector or ent in Japan and the of positions are companies or as are looking is area are looking s, and what current the should do to		0 pts No Marks You did not explain recruiting works for sector, the difference sector or work environment and the US, what ki are available, what institutions in this ar for in candidates, ar students can and st pursue this field			
Resource Guide	Full Marks You produced a thorough, well- organized, and up-to-date resource guide for helping	3 pts Good You produced resource guide for helping people find work in this sector, but I could have been better organized or more thorough	2 pts Fair You produced a resource guide for helping people find work in this sector, but it was not very comprehensive or easy to use.		d work in this sector,	1 pts Poor You produced a resource guide for h find work in this sector, but it was no comprehensive, not easy to use, and dated or erroneous information.		but it was not very sy to use, and co	very resource		not produce a guide for eople find work	4 pts
Presentation Six IIs	4 pts Full Marks You were poised and had clear articulation. Every team member spoke and participated at a very high and balanced level. Speakers demonstrated good volume, and eye contact. Enthusians and confidence was exuded. The presentation fit into the time allotment of 10 minutes. 3 pts Good You were mostly audible and/or 1) not all team in the topic, and/or 1) not all team in the lopic, and/or 1) not all team in the lopic, and/or 1) not all team in the lopic, and/or 2) work of the topic, and/or 2) work of the the topic, and/or 1) not all team in the lopic, and/or 2) the lopic and/or 2) the the lopic, and/or 1) not all team in the lopic, and/or 2) the lopic and/or 2) the lopic and in lopic an		emt on embers a and ed fair oken with or the r under	mbers the topic, and and/or 1) there and considerable imbalance in te d fair participation; 2) you demons ken volume and/or eye contact w audience; 3) considerable dir r the public speaking was exuded;		was on the topic m member was very in ated poor demonstrat eye contact comfort with 3) extreme and/or 4) the over or under		re not very audible and/or fluent topic, and/or 1) the presentation by imbalanced; 2) you strated very poor volume and/or stated very poor that was broken with audience; men discomfort with pub ic ng was exuded; and/or 4) the atton went 3 minutes over or he 10 minute allotment.		nt Then we over 10	ots Marks Marks be presentation int 4+ minutes er or under the minute otherwise.	4 pts

1 of 1